

RESOLUTION NO. 1998

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE PERIODIC REVIEW AND COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT PLAN

THE TROUTDALE CITY COUNCIL FINDS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The City of Troutdale commenced periodic review on May 20, 2008 and submitted its proposed periodic review work program to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) for approval on November 14, 2008.
2. DLCD administers a grant program to assist local governments with periodic review related projects.
3. The City submitted an application for grant funds to retain a consultant to prepare a citizen involvement plan that would serve as a roadmap for effectively involving Troutdale citizens, affected City departments and government agencies, and other entities whose input on various periodic review tasks is necessary or desirable.
4. In January 2009 the City accepted the grant of \$3,000 by entering into an agreement with DLCD. Under the terms of that agreement the City Council must review and approve final Citizen Involvement Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TROUTDALE

- Section 1. The Troutdale City Council accepts the periodic review and comprehensive plan update Citizen Involvement Plan.
- Section 2. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

YEAS: 6

NAYS: 1 Councilor White

ABSTAINED: 0


Mayor Jim Kight

5-28-09

Date


Debbie Stickney, City Recorder

Adopted: May 26, 2009

CITY OF TROUTDALE

PERIODIC REVIEW AND COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE

CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT PLAN

FINAL

CITY OF TROUTDALE CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT PLAN FOR PERIODIC REVIEW TASKS AND COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE

I Introduction

Ultimately, the responsibility for any citizen involvement program lies with the governing body. Recognizing this duty the Troutdale City Council has established a Committee for Citizen Involvement (as identified and defined within the Oregon Land Use Goals and Guidelines) named the City of Troutdale Citizens Advisory Committee. Interested citizens have been identified and appointed to this committee and planners from the Troutdale Community Development Department have been assigned to perform necessary research and other staff duties.

The City of Troutdale has been notified by the Department of Land Conservation and Development that they are required to conduct the regularly scheduled Periodic Review of the City's Comprehensive Plan as soon as a work program and funding can be approved. All cities of 2,500 or greater population within Oregon are required to conduct a Periodic Review every 7-10 years. The City has also determined that they desire to update and improve their Plan in order that it continues to function as a productive and efficient guide to the development of the City of Troutdale; and thereby, serve, as effectively as possible, to enhance the lives of the people who live and work within the city. As part of the desired improvement to the community's plan it is important that a useful and efficient program for involving interested citizens, agencies and other effected entities be created.

This Citizen Involvement Plan is meant to provide an effective guide to productively involving interested parties. Further, it will serve as an information resource for adjustments to the participation program that may be necessary during the course of the planning study should changing circumstances or other unanticipated changes to the comprehensive planning program occur.

II Goals

To create an effective citizen participation program it is best to adopt goals to be achieved by the participation activities. In this way it is possible to identify a comprehensive program of involvement that integrates all elements of the community into the process. Further, by providing statements about what is intended it is possible to better determine whether the program has been successful in achieving the desired results.

Troutdale Citizen Involvement Goals

- Assure citizens and affected agencies the opportunity to be heard during all phases of the project;
- Provide effective mechanisms to obtain useful information;
- Help to educate citizens and agencies about technical issues that may be encountered, land use planning generally and the character of the new Plan;
- Assist the community in developing a sense of ownership in the final Plan;
- Foster the effective accomplishment of the Plan; and
- Allow the City to anticipate and provide for adequate staff and financial resources to assure the involvement plan will be effectively conducted.

It is the intent of this plan to achieve these goals in the development of Troutdale's new Comprehensive Plan.

III Comprehensive Plan Updating Phases and Activities

The Periodic Review work program that the City of Troutdale has prepared and submitted to the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) is divided into six tasks:

- Task One. Designating Urban and Rural Reserves;
- Task Two. Housing Opportunities and Needs;
- Task Three. Commercial and Industrial Lands;
- Task Four. Public Facilities and Transportation (as regards new Transportation Planning Rule requirements only;)
- Task Five. Goal 5 (Natural and Cultural) Resources;
- Task Six. Comprehensive Plan Update.

While these six elements are ultimately all part of an integrated whole, initially they each require the gathering of unique technical information and the determination of particular policies and strategies which result from a community consensus built upon identified priorities and values. Once each task area is completed it will be integrated with the other task's policies and strategies to ensure the Plan is a comprehensive and consistent guide for the city's growth and change.

The process to be followed for each task, except Urban and Rural Reserves, is basically the same:

Phase One

1. Create mechanisms to identify the concerns and issues which the community and its leaders agree are important to the city's development.

Phase Two

2. Gather technical information for each task area and issue, then craft understandable and useful documents to be used by the decision-makers.
3. Analyze the technical information using knowledgeable persons who then make recommendations to address the issues and concerns utilizing the information available.

Phase Three

4. Planning staff reviews the information gathered, the analyses performed and the recommendations made, then prepares a Rough Draft for consideration by the community, interested agencies and the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC). The Rough Draft may be an integrated plan or separate elements depending on circumstances and the needs of the process.
5. Additional technical analysis is conducted where the review process determines further information or consideration is needed.
6. Adjustments are made to the Rough Draft at the direction of the Citizen Advisory Committee.
7. Adjustments by the CAC include integrating the recommendations for the various tasks into one consistent and comprehensive document, which is called the Decision Draft. If seen as desirable, the Citizens Advisory Committee and/or Planning Commission will conduct additional citizen participation activities to assure adequate community education about, and discussion of, the various documents before the Decision Draft is forwarded to the City Council for their consideration.

Phase Four

8. The City Council will conduct its own participation meetings, hearings and other events as they determine necessary to assure community understanding of the decisions and future vision contained in the Final Plan.

The above-described program is similar to planning processes used throughout the state of Oregon in preparing rational and productive community land use plans.

Phase One (Information Gathering)

Timing: During first three months of project.

A community-wide questionnaire has already been distributed by the City soliciting public identification of issues. In addition, an opening public event will be conducted using displays, presentations and other informational tools. This event is to be sponsored by and coordinated with project partners who will have representatives available to respond to citizen questions. Elements that include "food and fun" will be used to increase public attendance. Public notices will be provided to the newspapers

and news releases also given to the MetroEast Community Media program and the MHCC radio station. Sponsoring agencies also take on the duty to distribute flyers to potentially interested attendees.

Urban and Rural Reserves – it is anticipated this task topic will be completed prior to the beginning of this planning project due to scheduling requirements.

<u>OPEN HOUSE TOPIC</u>	<u>SPONSORS/HELPERS</u>	<u>FUNDING</u>	<u>SPECIAL INVITE</u>
Housing	City & Housing Authority Portland	City & HAP	Homeowners Associations and other Neighborhoods
Commercial & Industrial Lands	Economic Development Director, EMEA, Port of Portland	Chamber & City	Businesses, McMenamins, Port, MHCC, Shopping Center Owners and Lessees, Fed Ex, Strebin Trust & Metro
Public Facilities & Transportation	City Public Works City, County & Port	City County & Port	SDIC Nearby cities & ODOT
Historic & Scenic	Troutdale Historical	THS & City	U.S, Forest Service & State Parks

Phase Two (Technical Committees)

Timing: Begins 2-3 months into project and ends within 12 months.

Technical committees are formed and meet on an as-needed basis to be determined by City staff. They review and compile pertinent data, including their recommendations for how the City should amend its comprehensive plan. A task technical report is produced by each committee.

Committees will normally review materials via email and hardcopy drafts. Formal meetings will be limited to only when final drafts are being accepted and commitments are being made by participating entities.

Phase Three (Citizens Advisory Committee Rough Draft)

Timing: Starts about 12-15 months from the beginning of the project and ends about 12 months later (24-27 months from project start).

City staff uses the Phase Two reports as the basis for the creation of a Rough Draft Comprehensive Plan. This draft plan is reviewed by the Technical Committees, then forwarded to the Citizens Advisory Committee for consideration with the Technical Committees' comments attached. City staff shall determine whether the committees will meet together for the review and to make recommendations or whether individual committee members will be asked to comment without meeting as a group.

The CAC meetings are open to the public and are advertised as such. A Citywide open house is conducted and the Mayor issues a community invitation for everyone to attend. Displays on the various technical reports are available along with representatives from each technical committee to answer questions.

Where needed, additional technical data is gathered and used to refine the technical reports and related recommendations. If the City staff determines it would be useful to have assistance in drafting the Rough Draft Comprehensive Plan beyond that given in the technical reports an ad hoc working group may function briefly. This group would be composed of City staff, selected members of the Technical Committees and other interested partners identified in Project Memoranda One, Two and Three.

City staff working with the CAC and Planning Commission refines the Rough Draft by making adjustments where it is determined such changes are needed and justified by the information available, as well as the CAC's perception of community priorities and values. The resulting new document is called the Decision Draft Comprehensive Plan and will be referred to the Planning Commission and City Council for adoption hearings.

Phase Four (Decision Draft)

Timing: Begins 24-27 months after project start and ends 12 months later.

The Planning Commission is required to conduct a public hearing of the proposed plan. Measure 56 notice is provided to community property owners, if required, for an initial public hearing by the Planning Commission. Agencies are notified as required by State Planning Goal Two. Additional hearings are held as necessary to resolve issues raised by the public comment or as identified by the Planning Commission.

The City Council reviews the Decision Draft of the Comprehensive Plan, as recommended by the Planning Commission, at a work session.

The City Council holds such additional work sessions as are necessary for the Council members to feel comfortable that they understand the content of the Plan. These meetings are open to the public but normally no public comment is taken. The Council, at their discretion, may decide to take comments from the audience.

If a consensus exists on the Council that the Plan can proceed as recommended by the Planning Commission an initial public hearing is held and whatever modifications the Council chooses are made.

If significant revisions to the Plan are needed, or if more technical data is required to resolve questions, the Plan may be referred back to the CAC and/or the Planning Commission for refinement. Once issues have been resolved, or if no resolution occurs, the Plan returns to the Council for a final public hearing and decision, including those modifications the Council chooses to make. Upon adoption of the new comprehensive plan, required notice of action is given to the state DLCD.

A display of the adopted Plan is created and placed where the public can view the maps and adopted text. Copies are made available in the public library and at City Hall, as well as posted on the City website.

IV Technical Information Interpretation

One of the challenges of living in an age of information is that we are inundated with data, often of a complex and diverse character. This can be particularly true of comprehensive planning processes where one of the primary intents is to bring together all of the pertinent factors that affect the growth and development of the city. As a result of the mixing of many topics and the related technical information, citizens and decision-makers can find themselves in a maze of professional jargon, apparently confusing reports and the competing dialogues of various experts.

One of the important objectives of the local planning process is to sort this maze of information into a manageable set of data which can then be used to make rational and productive decisions that meet the community needs and values.

The initial mechanism for providing a consistent set of data that is sufficient for making the decisions required to resolve issues identified as important to the city's growth is the creation of the Technical Committees. These groups of trained, educated and experienced professionals will provide and review information gathered regarding the several task areas identified for this project. Their initial work will be to provide a sufficiently complete picture of the issues involved and to accompany those concerns with pertinent facts that will allow reasoned decision making. Conflicting evidence will be processed and clarity provided, along with relevant recommendations for how to proceed to achieve the desired ends.

In order to assure that the technical documents are relatively jargon free and understandable to the non-technical public the planning staff will review the materials and make such modifications as are needed to make the documents more easily understandable. These changes shall be reviewed by the technical committee members to assure that the reports remain accurate.

Public events will be conducted to give citizens an opportunity to review the pertinent reports and to ask questions of the experts.

The City will also post reports and recommendations on its website in order that interested persons may access the material and become informed.

If seen as needed and useful, a special City Newsletter will be created and distributed to assist public understanding and familiarity with the technical information and related recommendations.

V Feedback Mechanisms

One of the primary purposes of a Citizen Involvement Program is to obtain feedback from the community members, relevant agencies and other interested parties. In this way the Comprehensive Plan can be assured that it is truly reflective of the community it is meant to serve. Further, it is hoped that the community will have sufficient opportunity to bond with the Plan and to see it as their document.

In order to assure there is opportunity for feedback, the City has prepared in each phase several chances for public participation and inter-action:

- | | |
|--------------|--|
| Phase One: | Public survey (informal)
Open House
Website |
| Phase Two: | Technical Reports posted on-line and hardcopies made available |
| Phase Three: | City-wide Open House hosted by Mayor
CAC and Planning Commission Public Meetings
Website information |
| Phase Four: | Public Work Sessions
Public Hearings
Website |

Open houses will be designed to assure the public can ask questions or submit written queries to the Committee members and planning staff. Each inquiry will receive a specific response either at the event or immediately afterward. The City may choose to allow question and answer periods at the various work sessions and meetings as well.

After the Plan is adopted, communication does not stop. A display will be provided to the community for use at City Hall and other public events, which includes materials explaining how issues identified by the public have been dealt with in the Plan.

VI Alternatives

The following is a listing of involvement and communication tools, not in any priority order, for use by the planning staff **as needed**:

- A. Provide a quarterly email or hard copy project update to interested persons and agencies.
- B. Prepare a How to Voice Your Opinion Handout for interested citizens.
- C. Create a Quick Response Form so that citizens who ask a question can be given a simple answer quickly with reference to where more complete information can be found.
- D. Use whitepapers to explain complex or technically detailed issues and/or information.
- E. Maintain a list of interested persons solicited at each of the open houses to be used to notify them of events and updates.
- F. Provide local schools information to be used in informing their students about the Plan Update and hold a "Future of the City Essay Contest" with lunch with the Mayor as the prize; and conducted before the Decision Draft Phase.
- G. Have a photo contest of views of historic buildings and scenic vistas within the city limits, with the prizewinner made Tribune of Troutdale for a day, which includes a prize, newspaper coverage and recognition by the City Council (same timing as "F").
- H. Conduct a scientific survey of community residents about planning issues (250-750 participants depending on the complexity of questions asked).
- I. Provide additional informal questionnaires using the Troutdale Champion Newsletter.
- J. Have interviews on the local cable television City View Show, the MHCC radio station, as well as Oregon Public Broadcasting.
- K. Arrange for a speakers bureau using a team of two for each presentation (one elected or appointed official and one staff or technical committee member).
- L. Conduct a guided tour of planning issues and answers.
- M. Provide a self-guided tour brochure on planning issues.
- N. Create a group of Citizen Planning Volunteers to review project materials and provide assistance at project events.
- O. Use local newspaper and television "Community Calendars" to help notify people.
- P. Where feasible use local groups: such as Rotary, Kiwanis, Lions, Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, Campfire Girls, Parent Teacher Councils, Home Owner Associations etc. to help spread the word about project events and to involve more people.
- Q. Have displays at First Friday Artwalk, Saturday Farmers' Market, local car shows or other events, Chamber of Commerce and Troutdale Historical Society, as appropriate.
- R. Notify large apartment complexes, senior centers or other concentrations of people about public events.

- S. Contact local news personalities to see if they would help publicize special events.
- T. Place signs around the city that promote events of citywide interest to draw attention to these public events.
- U. Use large banners on main streets to attract attention to events and the planning process, as appropriate.
- V. Use finger food, balloons or other attractions at open houses or other events to increase public awareness of the planning process and products.

These are examples of what may be done. There may be many other useful ideas that emerge during the project that can be turned into effective involvement tools.

What makes for an effective participation program is active listening by the professional staff, elected and appointed officials, combined with a timely and thoughtful response to those who ask the questions. Whatever accomplishes that goal within the budget is a good idea.

VII Concluding Remarks

It is the intent of this plan, and the desire of the City of Troutdale, to maximize to the extent feasible the participation of the largest number of community residents possible.

That involvement could be in the form of speaking their opinions or asking questions at public events or via the internet, as well as in the simple acts of reading about or listening to presentations on the process and the plan. It is not our wish to decide for community members at what event or to what extent they become involved, only to assure that they have the opportunity to do so.

The City has developed this plan because it is our belief that citizens who become involved in their community can have an effect on how that city grows, as well as on the character and quality of the residents' lives.

APPENDICES

Project Memorandum #1

Project Memorandum #2

Project Memorandum #3

City of Troutdale

CITIZEN/AGENCY INVOLVEMENT PLANNING STUDY

Project Memorandum No. 1

TO: RICH FAITH, AICP
Community Development Director

FROM: John Andersen
Consulting Planner

Date: April 24, 2009

Topic: Summary of Interviews with City Staff and
Immediately Effected Entities

Entities Identified for Contact: Troutdale Planning
Troutdale Public Works
Troutdale Historical Society
W. Columbia Gorge Chamber
Housing Authority of Portland
Sandy Drainage Improvement Co.

Response: All agencies responded promptly.

Summary of Comments:

All agencies were contacted by telephone, except for Public Works where discussion occurred during a formal meeting held for this project's purposes, during March 2009. All indicated an interest in participating to the limit of their resources and responsibilities.

Troutdale Planning (Faith), as the sponsoring agency, recognized their responsibility to conduct and guide the entire process but expressed their desire to have the assistance of all other identified entities.

Troutdale Public Works (Warren, Hultin, Pepper) expressed a desire for full cooperation in all phases of the work. Particular interest was indicated in transportation and utilities. The Environmental Specialist agreed that if a workshop on water and stormwater, environmental issues such as "carbon footprint" was desired that she would

be happy to help. Reference materials have already been furnished to the Planning Department.

Troutdale Historical Society (Huston) indicated they would be involved with Historic and Scenic issues as well as Economic Development, as appropriate. They would be happy to sponsor an open house of historic sites and buildings, and perhaps even be able to persuade Sharon Nesbitt (local columnist, historian and public personality) to participate.

West Columbia Gorge Chamber of Commerce (Kyle, Freuler) is currently undergoing a transition, however both the outgoing Interim Director Barbara Kyle and the New Executive Director Alice Freuler indicated they would be eager to participate. Ms. Freuler suggested the new Economic Development Specialist (who is about to be selected) would be assigned to be their primary contact person. Before agreeing to specific topics and phases they would like to know more about the commitment involved. They did indicate a willingness to sponsor a Forum on Economic Development Issues as a way to increase public involvement and awareness of pertinent concerns.

Housing Authority of Portland (Marchesi, Dominguez) was appreciative of the City contacting them and asking for their participation. They are eager to be more involved in the East County Area. They wish to receive all project emails, reports and updates and would be willing to have Dominguez be the primary contact and participate on a Housing Technical Committee. They would be interested in assisting (including financially in a limited manner) in a workshop or open house on affordable housing issues. They would adjust their time involvement as the planning process proceeds.

Sandy Drainage Improvement Company (SDIC) (Hendricks, Eaton) indicated particular interest in land use, transportation and utilities as they affect their responsibilities regarding stormwater and flooding management. They would provide staff at any technical meetings where stormwater and flooding will be directly discussed, and otherwise would like to receive all planning project emails and mailings. They would assist with public events as time permits.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Charlie Warren
Public Works Director
503-674-7239
503-492-3502 fax
cwarren@ci.troutdale.or.us

Travis Hultin
Chief Engineer
503-674-7265
fax same

thultin@ci.troutdale.or.us

Amy Pepper
Environmental Specialist
503-674-7241
fax same

apepper@ci.troutdale.or.us

Terry Huston
Troutdale Historical Society
503-661-2164
Terry@Troutdalehistory.org

Alice Freuler & Dave Eatwell
West Columbia Gorge Chamber of Commerce
503-669-7473
Afreuler@westcolumbiagorgechamber.com

Shelley Marchesi
Public Affairs Director
Housing Authority of Portland
503-802-8427
shelleym@hapdx.org

Betty Dominguez
Assistant Development Director
Housing Authority of Portland
503-802-8300
BettyD@hapdx.org

Bob Eaton
SDIC
District Manager
503-281-5675

Dave Hendricks
SDIC
District Engineer
503-281-5675x302

City of Troutdale

CITIZEN/AGENCY INVOLVEMENT PLANNING STUDY

Project Memorandum No. 2

TO: RICH FAITH, AICP
Community Development Director

FROM: John Andersen
Consulting Planner

Date: April 24, 2009

Topic: Summary of Interviews with Additional Identified Entities

Entities Identified for Contact: City of Fairview
City of Gresham
City of Wood Village
Multnomah County
Port of Portland
Metro
Oregon Dept. of Land Conservation & Development
Oregon Dept. of Transportation

Response: All agencies responded promptly, except for the City of Fairview. Repeated attempts failed to produce a response from Fairview's Community Development Department.

Summary of Comments:

All responding agencies were contacted by telephone during March 2009, except for the City of Gresham which asked for a meeting to discuss project purposes. All responding agencies indicated an interest in participating within the limits of their resources and responsibilities.

City of Gresham (Abbate, Harker) expressed interest in participating but given cutbacks in funding their time will be limited. They would prefer not to have monthly meetings but would participate in the economic development, scenic vistas and viewpoints, transportation and land use technical committees as time permits, and

would definitely serve on committees developing policy documents, when that phase of the project is reached. They would like to receive all email updates, draft documents and other project products. Mike Abbate will be the primary contact with Jonathan Harker as the alternate. Janet Young will be asked to participate on the economic development committee. They would be willing to assist in open houses or other public events where they have a direct stake in the issues involved. They hope Gresham's participation will assist in continuing to improve the working relationship with Troutdale.

City of Wood Village (Polasek) was very interested in participating but recognized the city may have limited technical knowledge to add to the discussions. Preston Polasek will be the primary contact. Principle interests are economic development, transportation, land use and utilities (water, sewer, stormwater). The city would like to receive all email or other updates, draft documents and other project products, as well as serve on technical committees and assist with events that deal with their topics of interest.

Multnomah County (McFarland) is very interested in participating and recognizes a duty to do so on technical committees related to land use and transportation. They would like to receive all project documents. Jane McFarland will be their primary contact person, with other specialists brought in as needed, such as Derrick Tokos for land use. They would like to assist at public events but have limited staff resources so would have to prioritize such commitments. They are particularly interested in all phases of the update that might affect their responsibilities.

Port of Portland (Bullion, Lahsene) would like to participate in all phases of the update project and believe that they have useful technical data that would assist the planning. Various persons may be assigned to topics depending on the expertise required, such as Phil Healy and Chris White for Transportation and Tom Bullion for economic development. While only the two topical areas were indicated as areas of interest for direct participation, the Port would like to receive all project materials and email updates. They see their participation in both the technical and policy phases as useful to the project and would be willing to assist, and possibly host, pertinent open houses or other public events.

Metro (Harper) would like to be involved by receiving emails and other pertinent documents as the project proceeds. Brian Harper would be the primary contact, although an alternate may attend if needed. Possible attendance at all technical meetings (except scenic vistas and viewpoints) is possible as needed. They would be willing to assist at open houses or other public events if staff resources are available.

Oregon Dept. of Land Conservation and Development (Donnelly) is not interested in participating on the technical committees but would like to be kept informed of project progress, particularly as involves land use and transportation. Email updates and other project products should be provided to Jennifer Donnelly as the primary contact. If there is a Steering Committee formed Ms. Donnelly would like to serve on that group.

Specialists on particular topics may be made available as time permits, and should be considered on-call. Other participation is possible on a time available basis.

Oregon Department of Transportation (Region 1) (Kevlin) is interested in participating in the study, subject to significant limitations in staff time. Emails and other project products would be appropriate and should be directed to Ross Kevlin as the primary contact. Policy recommendations that would cause: 1. land use changes that generate added traffic trips; 2. code language changes regarding notification and/or traffic impacts; or 3. transportation improvements at or near I-84 or the Troutdale Interchange would be cause for ODOT direct participation. Where substantive discussions dealing with the three areas of interest previously identified ODOT would want to be involved with those committees, at all phases, and would assist with public activities related thereto.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Mike Abbate
Urban Design & Planning Director
503-618-2378
mike.abbate@ci.gresham.or.us

Jonathan Harker
Long-Range Planning
Manager
503-618-3000

Preston Polasek
Assistant to the City
Administrator
503-667-6211

Jane McFarland
Multnomah County
Transportation & Land Use
Manager
503-988-5050x29620

Tom Bouillion
Port of Portland
Land Use Manager
503-944-7615

Christine White
Port of Portland
Public Relations
503-944-7056

Ryan Parker
Port of Portland
Project Development
503-944-7077
Ryan.Parker@portofportland.com

Brian Harper
Metro
Planner
503-797-1833
brian.harper@Oregonmetro.gov

Jennifer Donnelly
DLCD
Regional Field Rep.
503-731-4065
x30963
jennifer.donnelly@State.or.us

Ross Kevlin
ODOT Region One
Transportation Planner
503-731-8232
ross.p.kevlin@state.or.us

City of Troutdale

CITIZEN/AGENCY INVOLVEMENT PLANNING STUDY

Project Memorandum No. 3

TO: RICH FAITH, AICP
Community Development Director

FROM: John Andersen
Consulting Planner

DATE: April 24, 2009

TOPIC: Summary of Suggestions for Other Entities or Persons to be Involved as Appropriate

Summary of Comments:

The following is a listing of other entities or persons that were suggested by those formally interviewed (see summaries in Project Memorandums 1 and 2) as possibly useful to all or some portion of the Troutdale Comprehensive Plan Updating. These entities could be used at the discretion of the Troutdale planning staff to supplement involvement activities or to provide useful technical or policy insights during the course of the Plan Update.

Old Sweet Briar Farm Homeowners Association
P.O. Box 304
Troutdale, OR. 97060
<http://sweetbriarhoa.org/index.html>

Cherry Ridge Homeowners Assc.
No contact information

McMenamins Edgefield
2126 SW Halsey
Troutdale, OR 97060
503-674-5273

Bob Akers
40 Mile Loop
503-665-5519

Sandy River Connections
No contact information

Community Alliance of Tenants
Check with Mary Li

Mary Li
Multnomah County Community Services
Mary.t.li@co.multnomah.or.us
503-988-6295 x26787

Columbia River Gorge Commission
509-493-3323
509-493-2229 fax
www.gorgecommission.org

U. S Coast Guard
Operations Center
503-240-9301

Friends of the Columbia Gorge
503-241-3762
www.gorgefriends.org/

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
503-326-3250

Bonneville Power Administration
503-230-3000
503-665-4097

Oregon Dept. of Environmental Quality
503-229-5263

East Metro Economic Alliance
Travis Stovall, Exec. Director
971-506-1493
503-907-7432 fax

Don Barron
River Trails
503-667-1964

Columbia-Cascade River District
City of Troutdale

Audubon Society of Portland
503-292-6855

East Multnomah Soil & Water Conservation District
2115 SE Morrison, #201
Portland, OR. 97214
503-978-1108

U.S. Forest Service
Pacific Northwest Region
Natural Resources
503-808-2954

Oregon State Parks
503-731-3293

Mt Hood Community College
President's Office
503-491-7211