

Canby Planning Commission
Regular Meeting
June 13, 1983

MEMBERS PRESENT: Chairman Kahut, Commissioners Baller, MacKenzie, Davis, Shinn, and Schrader

MEMBER ABSENT: Commissioner Cutsforth

OTHERS PRESENT: Deputy City Attorney Lynn Molander, City Planner Bud Atwood, Consultant Stephan Lashbrook, and Secretary Virginia Shirley.

The minutes of the May 9, 1983, meeting were approved as presented.

Comprehensive Plan Consultant Stephan Lashbrook gave the Commission a progress report on the Comprehensive Plan revisions and the proposed revisions to Ordinance No. 690, as amended by Ordinance No. 722. These documents are well on their way to completion and the Commission will be given a draft copy as soon as possible.

Item No. 1: Request for annexation of 1.14 acres, located in the southeast corner of N. E. Territorial Road and N. Pine Street. This property is described as Tax Lot 2100, Section 27C, T3S, R1E. The applicant is Sharon Fazzio, representing the estate of Melvin Smith. Chairman Kahut explained that this is not a public hearing, but only the formation of a recommendation for the City Council. Commissioner MacKenzie informed the Commission members that it was possible that he had a conflict of interest since his daughter-in-law has an interest in this estate. His fellow Commission members felt this would not interfere with his ability to make a recommendation. The City Attorney stated that since this was not a final decision, only a recommendation to the City Council, no harm would be done by his participating in the recommendation. City Planner Bud Atwood gave the staff presentation and made a recommendation of approval of this small annexation. Neither the applicant nor the representative were present for the meeting. Commissioner Baller felt the Commission should not proceed without someone to represent this application. A short discussion followed regarding whether to proceed with the consideration of this application, or whether they should postpone and request a representative to be present to answer questions that might arise. It was the final consensus of the Commission that they could go ahead and make the recommendation, but that the applicant will need to be present at the City Council hearing. Commissioner MacKenzie stated that this annexation was a logical extension of city services. However, he would like to see more area annexed at a time, not just one ownership. Commissioner Baller stated that it would be easier to plan for streets and other public facilities if several ownerships were annexed at the same time. Commissioner Schrader expressed concern that the applicant had not shown a definite need for this property to be annexed to the City. He further stated that he felt the applicant should be informed that additional information should be presented to the Council showing there is a need to annex additional property into the City. A short discussion followed regarding the possibility of getting several owners to apply for annexation at the same time, and the problem of convincing them to annex together. It was the consensus that people annex only when a need for annexation arises. *Commissioner Baller moved to make a recommendation of approval for annexation of 1.14 acres located in the southeast corner of N. E. Territorial Road and N. Pine Street. This property is Tax Lot 2100, Section 27C, T3S, R1E. The applicant is Sharon Fazzio, for the estate of Melvin Smith. The proposal conforms with the City's adopted Plan, the proposal complies

Canby Planning Commission
June 13, 1983
Page 2

with the statewide planning goals and there is a need in the City for additional property, especiall in the R-1 and R-2 residential classifications at least in the development and expanded goals of the City of Canby. We are going to be needing to be annexing more property in the coming months and/or years to meet the needs of all of the people. The applicant should be prepared to address the question of need when this proposal goes for a public hearing before the City Council. Also, the applicant should be aware that the recommendation of approval on the annexation does not mean an automatic approval of any forthcoming development. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Schrader and passed unanimously.

There being no further business to come fore the Commission, the meeting was adjourned.

This meeting has been recorded on tape.

Respectfully submitted,


Virginia Shirley, Secretary
Canby Planning Commission