Canby Planning Commission Regular Meeting July 12, 1982

MEMBERS PRESENT: Commissioners Baller, Davis, Cutsforth and Schrader

MEMBERS ABSENT: Chairman Kahut, and Commissioners MacKenzie and Shinn

OTHERS PRESENT: City Attorney Wade Bettis, Deputy City Attorney Jennifer James,

City Planner Bud Atwood, Secretary Virginia Shirley, Utility Board Manager Stephan Lashbrook, Councilman David Anderson,

and Myra Weston

The minutes of the June 14, 1982, meeting were approved as presented.

In the absence of Chairman Kahut, the meeting was chaired by City Attorney Wade Bettis. Mr. Bettis introduced his new associate Jennifer James to the Commission, and informed the Commission that she would be working with the Commission in the future as a deputy City Attorney. Mr. Bettis read to the Commission an announcement which will appear in the local newspaper regarding the reasons for the dissolution of his partnership with attorneys Reif, Reif, and Clancy.

Mr. Bettis opened the continued public hearing on the proposed amendments to Ordinance No. 690, which have been incorporated into Ordinance No. 722: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE No. 690, THE LAND DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF CANBY. Stephen Lashbrook, consultant planner, reviewed the changes which had been noted at the Planning Commission meeting of June 14, 1982. He then proceeded to explain the new proposed setback requirements which have been incorporated into the proposed amendments. Side yard setbacks of 6 and 9 feet for single story and 7 and 10 feet for two-story dwellings in R-1, R-1.5, R-2, and C-R, zones. Rear yards would be 15 feet for single story and 20 feet for two-story. All setbacks to be measured from the foundations. A two (2) foot overhang will be allowed.

There are two typo corrections on page 29. Line 2 of 10.8.20 should be "importance" rather than "important." Under C-1, line 4, it should read: "... approval, or . . ."

Next on the list is the setback requirements for accessory building. This amendment would read: "Interior yards may be reduced to 3 feet for detached accessory structures not exceeding one story and erected 60 feet or more from lot front." This would apply in any zone in which an accessory building restriction appears.

After further discussion regarding the proposed setbacks for interior yards in the R-1, R-1.5, R-2, and C-R zones, it was the consensus of the Commission that the setbacks should be deleted for single story and make those the same as two-story buildings, which would be 7 and 10 feet.

In the M-1 zone, there does not appear to be any setback requirements stated along Highway 99-E. After some discussion, it was the consensus of the Commission that where abu-ting 99-E, the setback should be 20 feet which is the same as for the C-2, Highway Commercial, zone.

Canby Planning Commission July 12, 1982 Page 2

Under the M-1 zone, Conditional Uses, #1. This should read: "Motels, hotels, and similar accommodations." Delete the word "transient."

Planner Atwood stated the City Council has requested the Commission consider narrowing the street right-of-way requirements. He then asked Mr. Lashbrook when would be the appropriate time to consider such an amendment. Mr. Lashbrook stated that it would be better to do the present changes and work on right-of-way width in the next set of amendments. Several Commissioners are absent and right-of-way width will need time and thought from all the members.

Planner Atwood stated the reasons the City Council had requested a review of the right-of-way requirements in Ordinance #690.

\*Commissioner Baller moved to recommend to the Canby City Council that the following changes as proposed in Ordinance #722 be made to the Land Development and Planning Ordinance (Ordinance #690). Interior yard setbacks in the R-1, R-1.5, R-2, and C-R, zones be changed to 7 and 10 feet, measured from the foundation of the building. Overhangs shall not exceed 2 feet. 2) In the R-1, R-1.5, R-2, and C-R zones, interior yards may be reduced to 3 feet for detached accessory structures not exceeding one story and erected 60 feet or more from lot front. 3) In the R-1, R-1.5, and R-2, zones the rear yard will be 15 feet for single story and 20 feet for two-story. 4) In the C-2, Highway Commercial, all reference to accessory structure to be stricken. 5) In the M-1 zone, include Street Yard: 20 feet where abutting Highway 99-E. 6) In the M-1 zone, Conditional Uses, #1: This should read: "Motels, hotels, and similar accommodations." 7) Two typo errors: a) Page 29, 10.8.20, line 2, should be: "importance" not "important." b) Page 29 under "C", line 4, should read: ". . . from the date of approval, or such . . . " This motion to include all amendments incorporated into Ordinance #722 discussed on June 14, 1982. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Cutsforth and passed unanimously.

Commissioner Schrader moved to close the public hearing on the amendments to Ordinance #690 as proposed in Ordinance #722. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Baller and passed unanimously.

There being no further business to come before the Commission, the Planning Commission meeting was adjourned at 8:55 p.m.

This meeting has been recorded on tape.

Respectfully submitted,

Virginia Shirley, Secretary Canby Planning Commission