SPECIAL MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL OF CANBY, HELD ON APRIL 29, 1922.

The meeting was called to order by W. S. Maple, Mayor.

Those present were: W. S. Maple, Mayor, C. L.

Eid, Recorder; George F. Meeks, Chief of Police. Dedman,

Seems, Johnson, Lee, Sandsness, Sheldon and Shull, Councilmen.

The meeting having been called for the purpose of receiving valuation of appraisal of the Molalla Electric Company's electric light system, the following Inventory and Appraisal of Electric Distribution System, Canby, Oregon, compiled by J. D. Beebe, of Salem, Oregon, was read:

Salem, Oregon.
April 27, 1922.

Mr. C. L. Eid, Recorder,

Canby, Oregon.

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to the terms of the contract agreement entered into between the City of Canby, the Molalla Electric Company, and the writer, an appraisal report on the property of the Molalla Electric Company, within the corporate limits of Canby, Oregon, is herewith presented.

A field inventory of all physical items of property heretofore agreed upon was made to determine the number, size, kind and condition of same, and the result of this work is set forth in the finished report which follows.

The unit costs applied to theitems shown in the inventory are derived from prices of labor and material current during the month of April, 1922, and reflect the cost of reproducing the identical property under conditions prevalent at the time.

The ascertainment of the present or depreciated values is the result of the writer's best judgment, being

based on a proper consideration of the remaining physical serviceability of the property now employed for the public convenience of Canby, Oregon.

No consideration was given to intangible values such as organization and development of the business.

Interest during construction equivalent to eight per cent for one half of the construction period was determined and used. The period of time necessary to build this property has been estimated on the supposition that an organized crew of skilled workmen was employed under continuous construction.

Results thus obtained are reflected in the final analysis, and the units of labor cost will be lower than where a property is built piece-meal as was the case in the original construction of this property.

The appraisal and resultant valuation do not include any property, the ownership of which was in question at the time of making the field inspection.

As a result of the application of the methods of analysis outlined above, and after giving due consideration to all the variable elements, I find that the fair present value of the Molalla Electric company's property now used in furnishing electric service in Canby, Oregon, to be \$7,787 as shown in detail in this report.

Respectfully Submitted,

JDB-C

(Signed) J. D. Beebe

Encl.

ELECTRIC PROPERTY

Canby, Oregon

CLASSIFICATION	REPRODUCTION COST NEW	PRESENT
RECAPITULATION OF PROPERT	IY:	
POLES AND FIXT	TURES \$ 2,723	\$ 1,727
OVERHEAD SYSTEM	2,754	2,276
LINE TRANSFORMERS & DE	EVICES 1,220	872
electric servides	975	824
ELECTRIC METERS	1,958	1,679
UNDISTRIBUTED CONSTRUCE EXPENDITURES	CTION 465	357
INTEREST DURING CONSTE	RUCTION 68	52
TOTAL PHYSICAL PLA	NT \$10,163	\$ 7,787

ELECTRIC PROPERTY Canby, Oregon.

Classification	Size or Weight	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Reproduction Cost New	Present value
Distribution Equ	ipment:					
Poles:						
20 Ft. cedar 25 Ft. " 30 Ft. " 35 Ft. " 40 Ft. " 45 Ft. " 50 Ft. "	poles ii ii ii ii ii ii ii ii	5 55 100 63 16 4	Ea.	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\$ 21 277 597 403 133 38 11 35	4(5) 2
20 Ft. cedar 25 Ft. "	stubs	2 13	11	4.23 5.04	8 66	
Total Spec	ific Cost				1,589	984
Poles - Joint Own 40 Ft. cedar		0%) 6	Ea.	8.31	50	3
M. E. Co's.	Apportion	ment		-	25	
45 Ft. cedar	poles	2	77	9.52	19	
M. E. Co's.	Apportion	ment			10	
Total Spe	cific Cos	t			35	28
Stepping:						
35 Ft. cedar 40 Ft. cedar 50 Ft. cedar	poles	1 1	17 17	1.82 2.17 2.63	2 2 3	
Total Spe	cific Cos	t			7	4
Pavement Setting Cedar Poles	S	9	Ea.	1.00	9	7
Cross Arms: 2 Pin- 3'-0"-S 2 Pin- 4'-0"-S 2 Pin- 4'-0"-D 4 Pin- 6'-0"-S 4 Pin- 6'-0"-D	ingle ouble ingle	28 96 32 152 35	11 11 11 11	1.13 1.35 3.21 1.77 3.96	32 130 103 269 139	
"Total Spe	cific Cos	t			673	437
Miscellaneous: Pole top pins Oak Brackets		63 45	17 17	.60	38 5	
Total Specifi	c Cost				43	33
Total Poles a	nd Fixtur	es			2,723	1,727

Classification Weigh		TT:ni4	Unit		
	t Quantity	UNIT	Price	Cost New	Value
Overhead System:					
Conductors: #4 Bare Copper #6 # # #8 " " #10 " " #12 " "	2.55 14.40 60.15 14.70 2.70	M.F.	\$32.79 21.92 15.19 10.45 7.66	" 316 914 154	S
# 4 Insulated Copper # 6 # 8 " " #10 " " #12 " "	2.70 3.75 27.90 7.20 5.25	17 17 11 17 11 17 11 17	44.16 31.65 22.92 17.14 13.29	119 639 123	
Total Specific Cost				2, 559	2,139
Insulators:					
Porcelain - 6600 volt Glass - 2200 volt	606 693	Ea.	.23		
Total Specific Cost				188	131
Secondary Racks:					
Three wire - galv.	3	27	2.35	7	6
				2,754	2,276
Line Transformers & Dévices 1.5 K.W. Transformers, 6600 3 "" " " " 10 "" " " 20 "" " "	S: D volt 1 1 1 3	55 54 54	75.27 92.42 164.33 257.06	75 92 164 771	
Total Specific Cost			>>	1,102	784
Protective Devices: Primary cutouts 6600 2200) volt 12	17 27	8.95 2.65	107	
Total Specific Cost				118	88
Total Line Transformers and Devices	*			1,220	872
Classification Weight		Unit	Unit Price	Reproduction Cost New	Present Value
#4 - 2 wire #6 - 2 wire #8 - 2 wire #10-2 wire #12- 2 wire #6 - 3 wire #8 - 3 wire #10-3 wire	4 1 3 29 131 7 8	Ea.	6.69 7.49 5.56 4.59 4.11 11.24 8.34 6.88	\$ 27. 7 17 133 538 79 67	#
#12-3 wire	5	17	6.16	31	(
Total Electric Services			18	975	824
Electric Meters: 5 Amp. 110 volt, 2 wire 5 Amp. 220 " 2 wire 5 Amp. 220 " 3 wire 10 Amp. 110 " 2 wire 10 Amp. 220 " 3 wire 15 Amp. 220 " 3 wire	115 29 8 2 6 3	11 14	9.88 10.97 10.97 11.37 12.46 13.81	136 318 88 23 75 41	

Classification	Size or Weight	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Reproduction Cost New	Present
25 Amp. 110 volt 25 Amp. 220 volt 25 Amp. 220 volt 25 Amp. 220 volt 50 Amp. 220 volt 50 Amp. 220 volt	2 m 5 m 3 phase 2 wire	1 4 4 1 2	Ea. H H H H	15.18 16.54 16.54 39.56 22.30 44.88	15 66 66 40 45 45	
					1,958	1,679

No further business appearing, the meeting

adjourned.

APPROVED: May 1, 1922

MAYOR.