Canby City Council Regular Meeting June 17, 1974

The meeting was called to order by the Mayor at 7:30 p.m. and was followed by the traditional flag salute.

Roll Call

Present: Mayor Roth, Councilmen Gerber, Giger, Kariker, Tate, Wagner, and Westcott.

Absent: None, Councilman Giger was excused at 10:05 p.m.

Others Present: Attorney Bettis Sr., Administrator Wyman, Supt. of Public Works John Whiteside, Fire Chief Buttolph, and Foreman Atwood

Mr. Douglas and two other representatives from Package Containers Cooperation approached the Council in regard to its recent decision to bill Package Containers for the full amount of \$7,450 for the sewer connection. Mr. Jones circulated copies of a paper showing that Package Containers had paid their contract plumber, Charles Sandsness, for the connection fee and that Sandsness had later given the company credit on the plurbing bill. Other members of the Council requested copies of this document. After a period of discussion a motion was made by Councilman Westcott, seconded by Gerber and carried unanimously to reconsider the previous action. After further discussion a motion was made by Councilman Tate, seconded by Gerber to continue billing Package Containers for the \$7,450 as prescribed by the ordinance. Discussion continued during which Councilman Kariker stated that he was not ready to vote until further information could be gathered. Councilman Gerber said that no further information appeared to be available. A motion was made by Councilman Westcott, seconded by Tate and carried 4 - yes, 2 - no to table this matter until July 1. Sandsness was to be notified of the July 1 schedule. Councilman Giger requested that all communications in regards to this matter be in writing.

Upon the Mayor's call for approval of minutes of prior meetings. Discussion followed regarding the correction of the minutes of May 20 and it was requested that the minutes of May 20 be corrected rather than the procedure used of inserting the corrections in the next meetings minutes. The Recorder stated that the minutes of May 20 were to be corrected as requested. Thereupon, Mayor Roth declared the minutes of June 3 approved as distributed.

Administrator Wyman read a letter from the Chamber of Commerce strongly urging that the No Left Turn sign on Tvy St., north of the railroad crossing be eliminated. After a period of discussion, a motion was made by Councilman Gerber, seconded by Tate and carried unanimously not to remove the No Left Turn sign until a study of the matter was completed and a change in the traffic pattern considered. Canby City Council Regular Meeting June 17, 1974 Page 2

Administrator Wyman submitted a petition signed by some 50 citizens of Canby in protest of the presentation from the Railroad Parking Lot Committee pertaining to business license increase to finance the improvement of the Railroad Parking Lots. Councilman Wagner stated that a meeting on this subject had been called for 7:30 p.m., June 19, 1974, City Ball.

The Administrator and the Supt. of Public Works presented the information incident to the construction of a sewer line to serve Candlelight Mall on Candlelight Mall property on Berg Avenue and on S. W. Fifth Ave. The staff recommended the sewer line extension through the Candlelight Mall property be approved and that the city would participate in the cost of oversizing the sewage lift station needed for properties other than that property known as Candlelight Mall as reviewed this date. A motion was made by Wagner and seconded by Giger to approve the sewer line extension in 😕 Candlelight Mall. Sewer extension contingent on receiving right-of-way or easement over and across property known as S. W. Fifth, now owned by T. E. and Mancy E. Zeek. Said extension to be in a 15' easement beginning at the N. E. corner of Candlelight Mall property thence running southerly and parallel with said easterly property line 310 feet more or less to a point, thence westerly 710" more or less to the east line of Berg Avenue. The motion passed. After further discussion the matter was referred to Attorney Bettis and staff to pursue the satter further and to prepare the necessary agreements with the Candlelight properties.

After a period of discussion a notion was made by Councilman Tate, seconded by Gerber and carried unanimously to continue the moratorium on building restrictions where the property has less than 60 front feet on any approvad street in Canby.

Matt Knoblauch, Manager of Mangus Variety, approached the Council regarding limitations on fireworks which may be sold or fired in Canby. The Council reviewed Ordinance #552 and #557 including state laws pertaining to fireworks adopted by the City by reference only. After a period of discussion, Mayor Roth referred the matter to the City Attorney to render an opinion on whether or not the existing laws should be strictly adhered to.

The Council baving received printouts of the claims presented for payment, Councilman Giger made a notion, seconded by Wagner, carried unanimously by foll call vote that claims in the amount of \$40,544.11 be approved for payment.

Discussion followed regarding deficit in the water construction fund in the amount of \$8,629.15. At that point, Attorney Bettis read a prepared resolution #CLXXVI "A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING BORROWING OF FUNDS BY THE CITY FROM THE CEMETERY SIMKING FUND FOR THE PURPOSE OF REPAYING A 1971 GENERAL DEFICIT". After a short period of discussion a motion was made by Councilman Tate, seconded by Wagner and carried unanimously to adopt resolution #CLXXVI.

÷.,

Canby City Council Regular Meeting June 17, 1974 Page 3

Attorney Bettis and Administrator Wyman informed the Council of a recent meeting with the owners of two parcels of property of N. E. Canby which could be available for park purposes. It was understood that the City appoint an appraiser to work with an appraiser appointed by the property owners and a third appraiser would be appointed by the other two to determine the equitable value for the purchase of said property.

Administrator Wyman advised that he had recently been in contact with the state recording available funds for park purposes under public law #91-646. After a period of discussion, a motion was made by Councilman Westcott, seconded by Gerber and carried unanimously approving the Mayor's appointment of Duane Weeks as appraiser for the city. A motion was then made by Councilman Tate seconded by Westcott carried unanimously to approve the pursual of federal funds for park purposes. Wyman advised that \$10,000 in park money could be allocated from BOR funds if matching funds were available. Thereupon, Mayor Roth stated that further discussion of this matter would take place during a special meeting held after budgeting on June 21.

The Council discussed the status of police officer Louis Cole, who has been on medical leave without pay since August 1, 1971. A motion was made by Councilman Kariker, seconded by Tate carried unanimously that the inactive leave of Louie Cole be terminated as of June 30 and including the termination of hospital and medical insurance.

Ordinance #582 "AN ORDINANCE REQUIRING CITY PLUMBING PERMITS; PROVIDING FOR INSPECTION OF PLUMBING WORK; ESTABLISHING PERMIT FEES; AND PROVIDING PENALTIES" was read on final reading by Councilman Tate by title only.

Thereupon, a motion was made by Councilman Wagner, teconded by Tate carried unanimously by roll call vote that Ordinance #582 be adopted and became part of the laws of Canby.

Resolution #CLXXVII, a resolution "AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO REGULATE THE ERECTION, REMOVAL AND MAINTENANCE OF OFFICIAL TRAFFIC SIGNS, SIGNALS OF MARKERS" was read aloud by Administrator Wyman. After a period of discussion a motion was made by Councilman Tate, seconded by Gerber, carried unanimously adopting resolution #CLXXVII.

The Council discussed the pending improvement of N. Cedar street from N. W. 5th to the south line of Dahlia Park and the city's commitment to pay one-half of the cost of the improvement project. A motion was made by Councilman Wagner and seconded by Gerber and carried unanimously authorizing the staff to call for bids for the street ipprovement on N. Cedar Street to be opened July 11 and presented to the Council on July 15 and to advise the Camby Utility Board of this procedure, and to obtain signed agreements pertaining thereto.

Mayor Roth reported to the Council on a meeting of the CRAG executive board that he recently attended stating that the CRAG budget for 1974-75 had been returned to the staff for further study and with the stipulation that the per capita membership fee be not more than 37 cents per capita.

£

Canby City Council Regular Meeting June 17, 1974 Page 4

Administrator Wyman requested that the Council's prior directive that claims presented by Consultant Edwards no longer require Council action and that up to \$10,000 had been previously budgeted to cover the costs of a comprehensive plan being compiled by Edwards. After a period of discussion, Mayor Roth referred the matter to Attorney Bettis for a legal opinion.

Mayor Roth advised the Council of a Mayor's conference July/8-18 at Pendleton and requested the Council's permission to attend with expenses paid by the City. Councilman Kariker made a motion, seconded by Wagner and carried unanimously approving the Mayor's request.

Mayor Roth reminded the Council of the official budget hearing scheduled for 7:30 p.m. on July 21 with a special meeting of the City Council to follow.

The meeting as adjourned at 10:47 p.m.

J.R / Richardson, City Recorder

Paul N. Roth, Mayor

& - wish & pront the Increase of city license per por 25 4 135 topay for over the Parking - Plean make me a gittin for those A: us concerned, than John Aughturan

Mike setition + Call John is house when ready. need by ASAP - To tous into Council by 17 24

PETITION *****

WE, the undersigned citizens of the City of Canby do hereby petition the City Council of Canby as protesting the increase in annual business license fees as proposed by the Mayor's Railroad Parking Lot Committee during the Council meeting on June 3, 1974.

Industries Inc. Toolmer Catter en mer hohn nero 6 1_1h chartie Rock Cand let < Port <u>)</u>() Δ

P E T I T I O N

WE, the undersigned citizens of the City of Canby do hereby petition the City Council of Canby as protesting the increase in annual business license fees as proposed by the Mayor's Railroad Parking Lot Committee during the Council meeting on June 3, 1974.

act. Whitm n lor Wichman 1 0 sen hana 0 DIETZ ENGINE REBUILDING Por Olice Drumemone MAthews A



Chamber of Commerce

P.O. BOX 35 Canby, Oregon 97013 PHONE 266-4600

May 23, 1974

Honorable Paul N. Roth, Mayor, and Members of the City Council Canby, Oregon 97013

Gentlemen:

Canby Chamber of Commerce favors and strongly urges the removal of the No Left Turn sign on N.W. 1st Avenue and N. Ivy Street. This was the unanimous consensus at today's board meeting.

The board wishes to cite the reduction in pedestrian and vehicular traffic on N.W. 1st Avenue since the No Left Turn sign compelled traffic to travel N. Ivy Street to N.W. 2nd Avenue in order to turn left.

Two businesses in the 200 block (Vivian's Beauty Shop and the 88 Discount Center) have elected to go out of business, and another (Gary's Rexall Drug) has relocated. Two retail stores (The Fashion Room and Estelle's Yardage) have gone to new owner-ships.

More traffic can be handled on that thoroughfare and will improve the business climate for merchants there.

Insofar as board members know, no serious accident occurred at the N.W. 1st and Ivy intersection in the many years that left turns into N.W. 1st were permitted.

A Stop sign for southbound traffic on N. Ivy Street should be retained.

It is the board's belief that Canby will benefit from removal of the No Left Turn sign, and that the public will appreciate such action.

For the Chamber Board of Diredtors,

Walter R. Daniela

WALTER R. DANIELS, President

June 5, 1974

Mr. Harold A. Wyman City Administrator City of Canby P. O. Box D Canby, Oregon 97013

Re: Candlelight Mall

Dear Mr. Wyman:

This letter supersedes and replaces my letter to you dated May 23, 1974, and is for the purpose of confirm. ing that Candlelight Homes, Inc. agrees to convey an easement to the City of Canby to construct and/or maintain a sanitary sewer across the property of Candlelight Homes, Inc., if required by the City and at a location to be determined.

We would appreciate a written committment from the City of Canby to assume and pay the costs of utility installation which is in excess of the cost of an installation sufficient to meet the requirements of Candlelight Mall. Thank you for your assistance and cooperation on this matter.

Very truly yours,

CANDLELIGHT HOMES. INC.

By: <u>Leo Elario</u> Leo Elario, 'President

/nc cc:

Mr. John L. Whiteside Superintendent of Public Works City of Canby P. O. Box D Canby, Oregon 97013

June 3, 1974 P.O. BOX 779 Canby, Oregon

June 10 th

City of Canby City Council Canby, Oregon

Gentlemen:

The following are further recommendations by the traffic committee for your consideration:

Two stop signs at N. Juniper at N. E. 3rd 0k
One stop at N. Juniper at N.E.4th 0k
One stop sign N.E. 16th at Maple 0k
one stop sign N.E. 20th at Maple 0k
One stop sign N.E. 9th at Pine 0k
One stop sign N.E. 11th at Pine 0k
One stop sign N.E. 13th at Pine 0k
One stop sign S.W. 5th at Elm 0k

It is also our recommendation that 20mph signs be relocated on N. Fir at N.W.4th and N. Elm at 4th for the added protection of school children crossing at these locations.

For further consideration we would hope you could give thought to widening of N. Ivy from the corner of N.W.lst across the railroad tracks to Hiway 99. This would give three lanes for this traffic and even up the street curb corners. One lane could be used as a left turn only, one for thru traffic to S. Ivy and the other for right turn only thus speeding up safe traffic across the railroad tracks.

There has been considerable conversation about the no left turn sign at this location of N. Ivy and N.W.lst as to its good use. If this were to be removed per your letter there would have to be considerable signing to let people know of its change in order to have a safe intersection here. Traffic going towards N. Ivy and Hiway 99 would have be properly notified some way since they are use to the present operation

in outside the states

-94 C.5

ed Your Stadius

11.d Fair

tio

Sincerely,

Traffic Committee

Defounce Lloyd Younce Curt Johnson Matt Knoblauch

BEFORE THE WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION BOARD

STATE OF OREGON

HEARINGS DIVISION

In the Matter of the Compensation)

of

WCB Case No. 71-2327 SAIF Claim No. FC 318189

LOUIE COLE, Claimant

OPINION AND ORDER

Hearing convened in Portland, Oregon, on Wednesday, April 10, 1974. Claimant appeared in person and was represented by his attorney, Mr. Wesley A. Franklin; defendant-employer, City of Canby, appeared through the State Accident Insurance Fund by Kenneth L. Kleinsmith, Assistant Attorney General. The case was continued for the deposition of Donald Sutherland, M.D. Dr. Sutherland's Deposition was received on May 20, 1974, and the claim was closed on that date.

ISSUE

The issue is one of compensability.

FINDINGS

Claimant is 55 years old, Caucasian, married, with no children. He was employed by the City of Canby around June of 1964. Prior to that employment he had worked for about three years for a retail lumberman. Prior to the lumberyard he had been employed by the City of Baker, Oregon, for seven years as a patrolman. When he was first employed in 1964, for the City of Canby, he went to work as a patrolman and worked on the midnight shift, which he worked from 10 p.m. until 9 a.m. His duties consisted primarily of walking a beat. While working as a patrolman in Oregon City in 1958, he had a rather severe attack of angina and suffered sickness, pains in the chest and shortwindness and he was off work as a result of this for about two weeks. There was some confusion about this diagnosis because in late1951 or early 1952 a portion of his lung had been removed because of pulmonary tuberculosis.

About a year after going to work for the City of Canby as a patrolman, in March of 1965, he actually suffered a heart attack, then an anterior septal myocardial infarction. He was hospitalized for two weeks and was off work and at home for another six weeks and then returned to work. In the Spring of the next year, 1966, he was appointed Chief of Police in Canby. This arrangement as Chief continued until March of 1969 when he was relieved from his job as Chief but was retained as a patrolman.

OPINION AND ORDER

The new Chief of Police was Ron Walter, who had previously been a patrolman under the claimant's supervision. Mr. Walter started to give the claimant all the disagreeable jobs, such as catching stray dogs and then he put him on the swing shift where he worked from 8 p.m. until 4 a.m. This included walking around checking on building security. He had a Jeep he could drive if he was required to go any great distance and in October of 1970 he was given a large police dog and was required to take this dog on his rounds with him. He was a large German shepherd, weighing about eighty pounds who had not been trained and was often quite difficult to handle on his leash.

During the time this was going on the claimant received several memos from the Chief of Police threatening disciplinary action. On one occasion he talked to the claimant, stating he ought to fire him because he was taking too much time off from his work. When claimant talked about the difficulty he was having with the dog, the Chief's reaction was, "Well, you are just not a very good trainer", and when claimant mentioned to one of the other officers that he was having difficulty with the dog, the Chief called him in for not complaining to him directly. It was apparent from the testimony of the claimant and the Chief that these two men just could not get along and the Chief was trying to harass the claimant.

Ever since the 1965 heart attack the claimant had nitroglycerin tablets to use in an emergency. He had also a prescription for Coumadin. However, he was apparently not having any real trouble with the dog from October 9, 1970, except for the times when working with the dog when he would become extremely short winded. Overall claimant was getting along fairly well until August 6, 1971, when he suffered the heart attack which is the subject of this hearing.

Claimant had gone to work on the evening of August 5, 1971. Prior to going to work he had received a message from the Chief of Police, stating he wanted to see him and he was unhappy about his assignment concerning building security. Claimant was somewhat upset, thinking he might be fired, but he went to work with his dog at 8 p.m. His work consisted of checking doors and he had a check list of some 340 doors which required about three hours and during his shift of from 8 p.m. until 4 a.m., he normally made these rounds twice. At about 3 to 3:30 a.m. he heard an ambulance siren and since part of his duty consisted of assisting in traffic in the event of an ambulance call, he hurried from a back street from a distance of two or three blocks in order to ascertain where the ambulance was going. It was going out of town so he completed his second round of checking doors and during this period he had an episode when the dog attempted to get away and chase another dog and he had considerable difficulty subduing the dog. Shortly therafter he

OPINION AND ORDER - 2

became extremely short of breath and had a feeling of tightness within his chest. He then sat down on a park bench to rest and within a short time the Fire Chief, who had been in the ambulance, returned and sat down and talked with the claimant for a few minutes; this was approximately about 4 a.m. At that time claimant was feeling ill and the Fire Chief commented that he looked tired. After talking with the Fire Chief for a few minutes he walked with his dog about two blocks to the City Hall and by this time he was feeling quite ill. He took his dog to the City Shop where they kept the animal and left the dog. Despite considerable pain and illness he was able to drive himself home where he decided to lie down and not awaken his wife. The pain and tightness in his chest keep getting worse and was associated with shortness of breath and pain in his left arm. He continued to worsen so he awoke his wife and said he might need some help. She gave him nitroglycerin and called the doctor, who immediately had him sent to Willamette Falls Hospital by ambulance. He was seen by Dr. Bangs, who determined that he had suffered a myocardial infarction and Dr. Bangs felt that this was not a recurrence of his 1965 heart attack.

I am faced with two heart specialists who agree as to the circumstances under which the heart attack occurred, therefore, establishing legal causation, but who disagree as to the relationship between the exertion on the job and the heart attack itself. The opinion of Dr. Griswold is impressive from the standpoint of the logic and the reasons he uses to determine that there is medical causation and that the exertion on the job was a material contributing factor to the subsequent heart attack. On the other hand, Dr.Donald W. Sutherland is also a recognized heart specialist and in reading his Deposition he sets forth the convincing arguments that the exertion was not a material contributing factor to his heart attack. The undersigned must come to a conclusion as to which of the two medical opinions he is going to accept. Dr. Bangs, the primary treating physician in this case, is only of the opinion that a new fresh heart attack did occur and not a recurrence of his old heart attack.

OPINION

Dr. Griswold explains in some detail on just how these heart attacks occur and the fact that the pressure of the dog pulling is equivalent to pushing a dead weight. This type of exertion is exactly the type of exertion that will raise blood pressure, increase pulse beat and easily cause a subsequent heart attack. This is exactly the activity the claimant was engaged in at the time. It was Dr. Griswold's opinion that this man was suffering from arteriosclerosis, had a previous heart attack and he undoubtedly had a condition that is inducive to heart attacks. However, despite extensive cross-examination and specific

i ga i

OPINION AND ORDER - 3

and the dealer that the start

e da calendador terra terta da Marilia e dinal cala terra terra.

a chair a faith a star an sta

and the match remains the state of the second

answers to my questions, he was specifically and definitely of the opinion that this man's heart attack, within medical reasonable probability, was the result of the exertion that took place on the job.

Dr. Sutherland, in his Deposition, states that it is his opinion that the history just does not support this and that claimant's activity was not a material contributing factor in causing the heart attack. Dr. Sutherland is of the opinion that the claimant had coronary artery disease which he believed to be in longstanding, degenerative, chronic disease process. According to him the claimant had continued to have symptoms of chest pain on exertion and angina prior to the episode of August 6. The incidents that occurred on that shift at work were part of the natural history of this degenerative disease process rather than an event that precipitated a heart attack.

I agree that this testimony of Dr. Sutherland has some persuasive points but what really concerns me is that in the cross-examination of Dr. Sutherland, while he does not specifically rule out the fact that exertion can cause heart attacks, he seems to strongly imply that it was his basic opinion that very seldom does physical exertion become a material contributing factor to a heart attack when the patient has a known arterial disease condition and this belief seems to be his main reason for finding no medical causation. I have carefully gone over the testimony of Dr. Griswold and that of Dr.Sutherland and I have great respect for both of these men as recognized authorities in their specialized field of heart disease.

After analyzing all the evidence, I am of the opinion that Dr. Griswold's testimony is more persuasive to me than that of Dr. Sutherland. Therefore, I find that the claimant did suffer a compensable injury and the heart attack should have been accepted by the State Accident Insurance Fund as a compensable injury under the Workmen's Compensation Law.

ORDER

Claimant's injury of August 6, 1971, is referred to the State Accident Insurance Fund to be accepted for the payment of compensation until closure is authorized under 656.268. Appeal of this Order by the Workmen's Compensation Board does not stay payment of compensation to the claimant.

Claimant's attorney, Mr. Wesley Franklin, is awarded \$1,500, as and for a reasonable attorney's fee to be paid by the State Accident Insurance Fund.

OPINION AND ORDER - 4

NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES: If you are dissatisfied with this Order, you may, not later than thirty (30) days after the mailing date on this Order, request a review by the Workmen's Compensation Board, Labor and Industries Building, Salem, Oregon 97310. Any such request for review shall be mailed to the above address with copies of such request mailed to all other parties to this proceeding. Failure to mail such a requestfor review within thirty (30) days after the mailing date of this Order will result in LOSS OF RIGHT TO APPEAL FROM THIS ORDER.

ENTERED at Salem, Oregon, this 10th day of June

1974.

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION BOARD

William J. Foster

Referee

The undersigned certifies that as an employee of the Hearings Division of the Workmen's Compensation Board she served the foregoing Order on the parties on the date hereinbefore mentioned by depositing a copy of said Order in a sealed envelope in the United States mail on said day at Salem, Oregon, with the postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the said parties at their last known place of business or residence, as follows:

Louie Cole, 185 SE 1st, Canby, Oregon 97013 Wesley A. Franklin, Attorney, 3232 First National Bank Tower, Portland, Oregon 97201

City of Canby, 182 North Holly Street, Canby, Oregon 97013 State Accident Insurance Fund, Claims Adjustment Section, 311 Labor & Industries Building, Salem, Oregon 97310, Attm: Ed-Swenson

Department of Justice, Trial Division, 309 Labor & Industries Building, Salem, 07egon 97310

Hearings Division Clerk

OPINION AND ORDER - 5

lh

RESOLUTION NO. CLXXVI

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING BORROWING OF FUNDS BY THE CITY FROM THE CEMETERY SINKING FUND FOR THE PURPOSE OF REPAYING A 1971 GENERAL FUND DEFICIT.

WHEREAS, prior to the creation by Charter change of the Canby Utility Board in November, 1970, the Canby City Council authorized its Water Department to extend water lines on South Elm Street. Property owners on that street and adjacent to the line as authorized and extended were charged the cost for a 6-inch line. The Council at that time deemed it appropriate and expedient to enlarge the line to a 10-inch main for extra water capacity for future developments and for increased fire protection. The increased costs for the larger line amounted to \$8,629.15. For some unexplainable reason, the funds for such purpose were either never appropriated or were devoted to other authorized budget purposes, and the resulting deficit in the Water Department's account for said year was not known or detected until a recent audit; and

WHEREAS, the Canby Utility Board was created by the enactment of Charter Chapter XI adopted November 3, 1970, and assumed amended by Ordinance No. 467 enacted July 6, 1964, has sufficient funds on hand for a short-term loan at current deposit rates and to meet the emergency. NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED that:

1. The City Treasurer and City Recorder shall transfer immediately from the "CEMETERY SINKING FUND" the sum of \$8,629.15 to the City's "GENERAL FUND" and the funds thus transferred and for the aforesaid purposes are to be repaid to said Sinking Fund on or before July 1, 1976, with interest thereon at the rate of $\underline{6}$ % per annum from July 1, 1974, until repaid.

2. The City Recorder shall obtain from the Canby Utility Board its negotiable Promissory Note to the City of current date and payable on or before July 1, 1976, with interest thereon at the aforesaid rate.

Adopted by the Canby City Council at a regular meeting thereof on Monday, June 17, 1974.

ROTH Mavor

ATTEST:

RESOLUTION NO. CLXXVII

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO REGULATE THE ERECTION, REMOVAL AND MAINTENANCE OF OFFICIAL TRAFFIC SIGNS, SIGNALS OR MARKERS.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Canby City Council that pursuant to its authority by Ordinance No. 553 enacted June 5, 1972, and effective immediately upon the adoption of this Resolution, the Canby City Administrator shall have authority to direct the installation, maintenance, change or removal of official traffic signs, signals and markers in the City. This authority is given for the express purpose of expediting all necessary work in that regard and to provide better management for the regulation of traffic movement in the City and greater safety for motorists and pedestrians alike. Additional official traffic signs, signals or markers may be installed, erected or painted at the direction of the City Administrator, and this Resolution is his authority to act in that regard and as he may deem necessary and expedient for a proper and adequate traffic sign program. Furthermore, all Department heads in the City are authorized and directed to cooperate fully with the City Administrator in this program.