RESOLUTION NO. 946

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE CITY RECORDER TO CERTIFY TO THE CLACKAMAS COUNTY CLERK A MEASURE REFERRING TO THE ELECTORATE A PROPOSED ANNEXATION OF 1.95 ACRES DESCRIBED AS TAX LOT 1301 OF TAX MAP 4-1E-4CA LOCATED AT 1401 S FIR STREET; AUTHORIZING THE CITY RECORDER TO SEND AN EXPLANATORY STATEMENT FOR THE VOTER'S PAMPHLET; AND DOING ALL OTHER NECESSARY ACTS TO PLACE THE MATTER BEFORE THE VOTERS OF THE CITY OF CANBY FOR THE MAY 15, 2007 ELECTION.

WHEREAS, the Canby City Council has heretofore approved an application filed by Nick and Jamie Netter, on behalf of the owners of tax lot 1301 of Tax Map 4-1E-4CA, to annex 1.95 acres into the City of Canby; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of the Canby City Charter, the approval of the proposed annexation must be referred to the electorate of the City of Canby for an election; and

WHEREAS, ORS 250.035 requires a Notice of Measure be prepared by the City and submitted to the Clackamas County Elections Department by March 15, 2007 in order to appear on the ballot for the May 15, 2007 election; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Canby Municipal Code, the Canby City Attorney has prepared a Notice of City Measure Election and Summary for Voter's Pamphlet to be submitted to the Elections Department;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Canby, as follows:

1. The City Recorder of the City of Canby is hereby authorized and directed to certify to the Clackamas County Clerk for submission to the voters at the May 15, 2007 election, the Notice of City Measure. Such Notice of City Measure Election is attached to this Resolution in proper form and adopted by the City.

2. The City Recorder of the City of Canby is further authorized and directed to submit a Summary of the Measure to be placed in the voter's pamphlet explaining in clear and concise language the affect of such ballot measure. Such summary is attached to this Resolution in proper form and adopted by the City.

3. The City Recorder, the City Administrator and the City Attorney are hereby

Page 1. Resolution No. 946

authorized to do all other necessary and proper acts to place the ballot measure before the voters at the May 15, 2007 election.

This Resolution shall take effect on March 7, 2007.

ADOPTED this 7th day of March, 2007, by the Canby City Council.

Melody Hompson

Melody Thompson - Mayor

ATTEST:

cheaper **Kimberly Schea**

City Recorder, Pro-Tem

Page 2. Resolution No. 946

CITY OF CANBY NOTICE OF CITY MEASURE ELECTION FOR THE MAY 15, 2007 ELECTION

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, May 15, 2007, an election will be held in the City of Canby, Clackamas County, Oregon. The following shall be the ballot title for the measure submitted to the City's voters on this date:

CAPTION: MEASURE APPROVING ANNEXATION OF 1.95 ACRES INTO CITY OF CANBY.

QUESTION: SHALL 1.95 ACRES LOCATED AT 1401 S FIR STREET BE ANNEXED INTO CANBY?

SUMMARY: Annexation is the legal process to bring property into the City limits. Nick and Jamie Netter have filed an application on behalf of Todd and Theresa Snelson, the owners of a 1.95 acre parcel, asking the City to bring it into the Canby City limits. The legal description of the property is Tax Lot 1301 of Tax Map 4-1E-4CA. The parcel is located at 1401 S Fir Street in Canby. This application has previously been approved by the City Council following a public hearing on February 7, 2007. The property currently contains one single family residence and three outbuildings. It is currently zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) by Clackamas County. The City's Zoning Map designates the property for Medium Density Residential (R-1.5) zoning upon annexation. Any future development requires City review and must comply with land use laws.

The following authorized local government official hereby certifies that the above ballot title is true and complete, which includes completion of the ballot title challenge process.

Kimberly Scheafe City Recorder Pro-Tem

EXPLANATORY STATEMENT FOR VOTER'S PAMPHLET

MEASURE APPROVING ANNEXATION OF 1.95 ACRES INTO THE CITY OF CANBY

Measure No.

Word Total 374 (500 max)

This measure would approve the annexation of 1.95 acres into the city limits of the City of Canby. The property which would be included within the City boundaries is known as Tax Lot 1301 of Tax Map 4-1E-4CA and is located generally in the southwest part of the City. Tax Lot 1301 is currently zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) under County zoning. If annexation into the City is approved by the voters, the parcel would be rezoned to R-1.5, Medium Density Residential, as required under the City's Comprehensive Land Use Plan (Comp Plan) and Zoning Map.

Tax Lot 1301 is owned by Todd and Theresa Snelson. Nick and Jamie Netter have filed an application, on behalf of the Snelsons, for annexation into the City of Canby. The City, following its Charter, has put this matter before the voters for approval.

The parcel is located at 1401 S Fir Street, south of SW 13th Avenue, near Hope Village. The property currently contains one single family residence and three outbuildings. Adjacent properties to the north, west and east are within current city limits. Adjacent properties to the south are located outside the current city limits. Properties to the north (Sequoia Place) and east (Hope Village) are zoned for Medium Density Residential. Property to the west is zoned Low Density Residential, while property to the south is outside the city limits, it is within Canby's Urban Growth Boundary and would be zoned Medium Density Residential upon annexation. Under the R-1.5 zoning regulations, the applicant proposes to construct 12 single family residences. Annexation alone does not set the future uses to be built on the property. Any further development would have to comply with state and local land use laws and would be subject to public review.

The Canby Comp Plan also designates properties for annexation as Priority "A", "B" or "C". Priority "A" properties shall generally be annexed prior to those areas shown as Priority "B" which, in turn, shall generally be annexed prior to those areas shown as Priority "C". The property is priority "A". The Canby Planning Commission unanimously voted to approve the application and the Canby City Council unanimously voted to approve the application and refer it to a vote of the Canby electorate.

CITY OF CANBY mberly Scher

Kimberly Scheafer,) Canby City Recorder - Pro tem



BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CANBY

A REQUEST TO ANNEX 1.95) ACRES OF LAND INTO THE) CITY OF CANBY) FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS & ORDER ANN 06-04

NATURE OF APPLICATION

The applicant is seeking to annex a single 1.95 acre tax lot into the City of Canby. If annexed, the applicant proposes to construct 12 new single family homes with vehicle access from S Fir St. The parcel currently contains one single-family residence and three outbuildings. The applicant's conceptual plan is very similar to the Sequoia Place subdivision immediately to the north of the subject parcel.

HEARINGS

The Planning Commission held a public hearing and considered this application at its meeting on January 22, 2007. A quorum of the Commission voted 3-0 recommending approval. The City Council held a second public hearing to consider the application at its February 7, 2007 meeting. The Council voted 4-0 to forward the application on to Canby voters for a final decision at the ballot in the primary election on May 15, 2007.

CRITERIA AND STANDARDS

The Planning Commission forms a recommendation that the City Council may consider after conducting a public hearing. If the City Council approves the application, that approval is forwarded to Canby voters as a ballot measure where a final decision is reached during a general election. Section 16.84.040 of the Canby Municipal Code states that when reviewing a proposed annexation, the Council shall give ample consideration to the following:

1. Annexation shall be in keeping with prioritization categories, as designated on the adopted maps showing growth phasing (Urban Growth Element of the Comprehensive Plan).

2. Analysis of the "need" for additional property within the city limits shall be provided.

3. Smaller non-farm land shall be considered a priority for annexation over larger farm land;

Findings, Conclusions & Final Order ANN 06-04 Page 1 of 4 4. Access shall be adequate to the site;

5. Adequate public facilities and services shall be available to service the potential (or proposed) development;

6. Compliance with other applicable city ordinances or policies;

7. Compliance of the application with the applicable sections of Oregon Revised Statutes Chapter 222. (In other words, a triple majority type application must contain proof that a triple majority does, in fact, exist, etc.);

8. Risk of natural hazards which might be expected to occur on the subject property shall be identified;

9. Urbanization of the subject property shall not have a significant adverse effect on specially designated open space, scenic, historic or natural resource areas;

10. Economic impacts which are likely to result from the annexation shall be evaluated in light of the social and physical impacts. The overall impact which is likely to result from the annexation and development shall not have a significant adverse effect on the economic, social and physical environment of the community, as a whole. The full text of the annexation criteria can be found in Section 16.84.040 of the Land Development and Planning Ordinance.

FINDINGS AND REASONS

The City Council deliberated on all evidence and testimony presented at the February 7, 2007 meeting. The City Council incorporates the January 12, 2007 Staff Report and Council deliberations as support for its decision. The City Council accepted and adopted the findings in the January 12, 2007 Staff Report.

> Findings, Conclusions & Final Order ANN 06-04 Page 2 of 4

CONCLUSION

The City Council concludes that, based on the findings and conclusions contained in the January 12, 2007 Staff Report, the Planning Commission Findings and Conclusion, the February 7, 2007 public hearing and based on Council deliberations on February 7, 2007:

- 1. The land is designated Priority "A" for annexation.
- 2. The current supply of platted Medium Density (R-1.5) buildable lots in Canby is nearly zero, which is less than the 3 year supply considered sufficient to meet the need for residential land.
- 3. The property is not used for agriculture and is designated priority "A" for annexation, and is thereby reserved for urban development before other properties considered priority "B" and "C".
- 4. The current access at South Fir Street is sufficient to serve the needs of annexation and development on the site.
- 5. The City and other affected service-providing entities have the capability to amply provide the area of the proposed annexation with urban level services upon future development.
- 6. The annexation proposal is in compliance with other applicable City ordinances or policies.
- 7. The annexation proposal complies with all applicable sections of Oregon Revised Statutes.
- 8. No natural hazards have been identified on the site.
- 9. The effect of urbanization of the subject property to designated open space, scenic, historic or natural resource areas is limited, in that the open space designation and requirements as found in the Parks Master Plan will be adhered to.
- 10. No adverse economic impacts are likely to result from the annexation of the subject property.

Findings, Conclusions & Final Order ANN 06-04 Page 3 of 4 IT IS ORDERED BY THE CANBY CITY COUNCIL that annexation ANN 06-04 is APPROVED and will be forwarded to Clackamas County Elections Department to appear on the May 15, 2007 primary election ballot.

I CERTIFY THAT THIS ORDER approving ANN 06-04 was presented to and APPROVED by the Canby City Council.

DATED this <u>21st</u> day of <u>February</u>, 2007.

Melody Hr

Melody Thomson, Mayor City of Canby

Kevin C. Cook

Associate Planner

ATTEST:

ORAL DECISION - February 7, 2007

AYES: Carson, Helbling, Daniels, Blackwell

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Oliver

WRITTEN FINDINGS - February 21, 2007

AYES: Carlson, Blackwell, Oliver, Daniels, Carson & Helbling

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT None

Findings, Conclusions & Final Order ANN 06-04 Page 4 of 4

