## HEARING ON CAMPBELL PETITION TO RE-ZONE June 11, 1954

Present: Councilmen Campbell, Lesmeister, Manley and Smith.

Hearing called to order by Acting Mayor Warren Smith.

Officers present: City Atty. Ackley, Eng. Echols and Recorder Kanick.

No objections or protests either written or oral were registered and after due lapse of time, the hearing was declared adjourned.

Gladys Kanick, Recorder

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL, June 11, 1954.

Meeting called to order by Acting Mayor, Warren Smith.

Present: Councilmen Campbell, Lesmeister, and Manley.

Officers present: Mr. Ackley, Mr. Echols and Mrs. Kanick.

Motion was made by Mr. Manley, seconded by Mr. Lesmeister that the council adopt the report of the planning commission in the matter of the Campbell petition. Carried.

ORDINANCE NO. 61 "AN ORDINANCE REPEALING AND VACATING THE SENTENCE" MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF BUILDINGS ONE STORY" AS THE SAME ARE INCLUDED AND CONTAINED IN ORDINANCE NO. 19, SECTION C-1 TOURIST COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, ON PAGE 8 OF SAID ORDINANCE AS THE SAME IS ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER, AND CREATING AND PRESCRIBING IN LIEU OF THE SAID SENTENCE HERE REPEALED AND VACATED A NEW SENTENCE TO READ, "MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF BUILDINGS TWO STORIES": AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY "was presented and on motion by Mr. Lesmeister, seconded by Mr. Manley, that said Ord.61 be read in full once, twice by title and passed at this meeting of the council. Lesmeister, aye; manley, aye; and Smith, aye. Mr. Campbell did not vote.

Whereupon Ord. #61 was read once in full, twice by title and passed by the following vote: Lesmeister, aye, Manley, aye; and Smith, aye. Mr. Campbell did not vote.

A statement from Mr. Elmer F. Rapraeger, member of the school board in regard to the sewer for the new school, was read.

The proposal of the council to have the new school sewer connect to the Oak Street sewer by means of a stub was approved by Mr. Rapraeger for the School Dist. Mr. Echols reported that drainage was needed at this point in the swer system.

Motion was made by Mr. Campbell, and seconded by Mr. Manley that the street department be authorized to tap the manhold at Oak and U.S. Highway #101 at the N.E. corner with a stub. Carried.

Motion was made by Mr. Lesmeister, that council adjourn until July 13th.

Glady Fauck
Gladys Kanick. Recorder

## Statement of Elmer F. Supraeger, School board Member, District 17c.

On the morning of June 9, 1954 at approximately 9.30 a.m. in front of freeman's store in brookings, Uragon I met are matter mankus and briefly discussed with him our need for a sewer connection from the new High School building to a manhole near the junction of Oak Street and dignway 101 in the City of prookings.

the new high school to the manhors referred to but before the installation could be made he would require a signed contract between himself and the school board covering such things as the connection fee and a monthly charge for use of the sewer system.

I asked Mr. bankus if he was charging anyone else for the use of severs located in prookings and he said "No". Further conversation developed the point that Mr. bankus contemplated making such a charge throughout prookings, but he would not collect it from the school district or other users of the sever system until he and the city Council settled some points which seemed to be in controversy.

I then asked Mr. Bankus to enrighten me on the matter of the connection les which he had mentioned. He told me that he meant by this the cost of connecting the new nigh school cuitding to the manhole near Oak street and dightary lot. I explained to Mr. bankus that the school board and entered into a contract with a reliable contractor to build our sewer line. Mr. bankus sair that he would have to do the job with his own men and according to his own specification as he did not have confidence in contractors to do the more properly. The cost of the work performed by Mr. bankus would be the "connection les" reserved to.

On Thursday, June 10, 1954 I reported by conversation with Mr. Danaus to the other members of the school board. On June 11, 1954, I prepared the above statement while the facts were fresh in my mind.

ELMER F. RAPHARGER.

Harbor, Oregon.

TIMATED EXPENDITURE	S: Expenditures	Unex. Bal.	Estisaited Budget 1953-1954	Budget 1952-1983	Pudget 1961-1961
	4. 6.00	A AND MARKS IN	19,941.75		
ninistrative and General—			The state of the s		
yor and Council	\$		street accions	创 是 6倍	MICHELL STATE
ary, City Recorder	2,000.00	reministration	Decidant L	No horse	marking you
cellaneous Expenses	300.00 V				" via
ce Equipment and Const.	750.00 ×		isioladia iran	al, PISE COM	lelf-reside
Pay for Subs. Recorder	50.00				
ephone	500.00				
ht Service		Mark to the		No. of Street, or other lands	
nting Advertising Elections	330.00 / 850.00				
ight	45.00				
irement, Insurance, Bonds	61,00		15 × 10	ME THE	
erest					
	\$ 7,251.00		100	物的社员.	
EET LIGHTS	900.00	N.			
		2 - 7		The state of the state of	
Department— se, Fire Engine	3,321.12				
Other Expense					
La Carte Contract	7/10/10				
	7,410.12		4.17	1. 19.6	
ng, Building, Sanitation—	1,800.00				
mission, Maps, Notices, etc.					
The second second					
	2,300.00 🔻				
y Attorney	1 900 00				
pense, Charter, Bonds, etc	1,800.00 1,500.00	D.	THE LEA		
MANUA THE		1			
	3,300.00 ^		1		
ary and Mileage	2,250.00				
Other Expense	11,189.00	Receipts-		1953-1954 Estimated	1954-1955 Estimated
Salar Sa	1	A STATE OF THE STA	<b>一种</b>	(Budget)	
THE PARTY WAS A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	13,439.00	Property T	axes (Prior	levies	四門 雷 唐 二
AND AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PARTY				S D. (HHIIMI	9 904 97
				\$ 5,000.00 ! 1,718.18	9,904,27 2,850.00
nicipal Court	1,400.00	Fines and	Roil		
nicipal Court	1,400.00	Fines and I	Bail eltures	3,712.55	3,500,00
nicipal Court	1,400.00 50.00 200.00	Fines and Forto Building Pe Franchise 7	Bail eitures ermits Caxes	3,712.55 750.00 250.00	3,500,00 640,00 300,00
ary of Judge c. Pay, (Judge Pro-Tem) Other Expense	1,400.00 50.00 200.00	Fines and Forfe Building Pe Franchise T Licenses	Bail eitures ermits Caxes	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00	3,500,00
ary of Judge c. Pay, (Judge Pro-Tem)  Other Expense	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00	Fines and Forfe Building Pe Franchise T Licenses Available ( Parking M	Bail eftures Faxes Cash Bal eter Col	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,100.00	3,500,00 640,00 300,00 4,500.00
ary of Judge Pro-Tem)  Other Expense	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00	Fines and Forfe Building Pe Franchise I Licenses Available ( Parking M State High	Bail eftures Faxes Cash Bal eter Col way Funds	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,100.00 9,200.00	3,500.00 640.00 300.00 4,500.00 12,000.00
inicipal Court lary of Judge c. Pay, (Judge Pro-Tem) l Other Expense  xes not expected to be collected durin 34-1955 fiscal year	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00	Fines and Forfe Building Pe Franchise I Licenses Available ( Parking M State High	Bail eftures Faxes Cash Bal eter Col	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,100.00 9,200.00	3,500,00 640,00 300,00 4,500.00
ary of Judge Pay, (Judge Pro-Tem) Other Expense  tes not expected to be collected during 4-1955 fiscal year  page 1964 1965 1966 1966 1966 1966 1966 1966 1966	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00	Fines and Forte Building Pe Franchise Licenses Available ( Parking M State High Miscellanco	Bail eitures	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,100.00 9,200.00	3,500.00 640.00 300.00 4,500.00 3,700.00 12,000.00 350.00
ary of Judge Pay, (Judge Pro-Tem) Other Expense  tes not expected to be collected during 4-1955 fiscal year  page 1964 1965 1966 1966 1966 1966 1966 1966 1966	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00	Fines and Forte Building Pe Franchise Licenses Available ( Parking M State High Miscellanco	Bail eitures	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,100.00 9,200.00	3,500.00 640.00 300.00 4,500.00 3,700.00 12,000.00 350.00
ricipal Court  ary of Judge  Pay, (Judge Pro-Tem)  Other Expense  res not expected to be collected during 1-1955 fiscal year  Engineer—	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00	Fines and Forte Building Pe Franchise Licenses Available ( Parking M State High Miscellanco	Bail eitures	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,100.00 9,200.00	3,500.00 640.00 300.00 4,500.00 3,700.00 12,000.00 350.00
ary of Judge c. Pay, (Judge Pro-Tem) Other Expense  xes not expected to be collected durin 4-1955 fiscal year  y Engineer— tainer veys, etc.	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00 6,000.00 400.00	Fines and Forte Building Per Franchise Licenses Available Charking M State High Miscellaneo	Bail eitures	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,100.00 9,200.00	3,500.00 640.00 300.00 4,500.00 12,000.00 350.00 37,744.27
ary of Judge c. Pay, (Judge Pro-Tem)  Other Expense  xes not expected to be collected during 4-1955 fiscal year  y Engineer— tainer rveys, etc.	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00 6,000.00 400.00 1,000.00	Fines and Forte Building Per Franchise Licenses Available (Parking M State High Miscellaneo	Bail eitures	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,160.00 9,200.00	3,500.00 640.00 300.00 4,500.00 12,000.00 350.00 37,744.27
tainer rveys, etc.	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00 6,000.00 400.00 1,000.00	Fines and Forte Building Per Franchise Tilcenses Available Charking Marking Marking Miscellaneo  Summar  Total Estimates	Bail eitures	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,100.00 9,200.00 30,230.73	3,500,00 640,00 300,00 4,500,00 12,000,00 350,00 37,744,21
ary of Judge	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00 6,000.00 400.00 1,000.00 850.00	Fines and Forte Building Per Franchise I Licenses Available C Parking M State High Miscellaneo Summar Total Estimates Total Estimates Rece	Bail eitures	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,100.00 9,200.00 30,230.73	3,500,00 640,00 300,00 4,500,00 12,000,00 350,00 37,744,27
inicipal Court lary of Judge c. Pay, (Judge Pro-Tem) l Other Expense  xes not expected to be collected durin 54-1955 fiscal year  y Engineer— tainer rveys, etc.  irary (not for construction)	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00 \ 6,000.00 \ 400.00 1,000.00 \ 850.00 \ 400.00	Fines and Forte Building Per Franchise The Licenses Available Charking Marking Marking Marking Miscellaneo Summar Total Estima Received Per	Bail eitures	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,100.00 9,200.00 30,230.73 49,941.75	3,500,00 640,00 300,00 4,500,00 12,000,00 350,00 37,744,27
ary of Judge c. Pay, (Judge Pro-Tem)  Other Expense  xes not expected to be collected during 4-1955 fiscal year  y Engineer— tainer rveys, etc.  lice Department— I Medical Care isoners Meals Furnishings and Supplies  pol and Convention	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00 6,000.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 850.00 400.00 400.00 200.00	Fines and Forth Forth Building Per Franchise The Licenses Available Charking Marking Marking Marking Miscellaneo  Summar Total Estima Expension Recessary Tax Levy	Bail eitures	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,100.00 9,200.00 30,230.73 49,941.75 30,230.73 udget and 19,711.02	3,500,00 640,00 300,00 4,500,00 12,000,00 350,00 37,744,27 58,870,12
lary of Judge lary of Judge lary of Judge lary of Judge Pro-Tem)  1 Other Expense  Expense  Expense  In the Large of the collected during the second	1,400.00 50.00 200.00 1,650.00 6,000.00 400.00 1,000.00 850.00 400.00 400.00 400.00 1,200.00 1,200.00	Fines and Forte Building Per Franchise Talicenses Available Carking Marking Marking Marking Marking Miscellaneo  Summar  Total Estimates Total Estimates Received Estimates Received Tax Levy	Bail eitures	3,712.55 750.00 250.00 5,500.00 1,000.00 3,100.00 9,200.00 30,230.73 49,941.75 30,230.73 udget and	3,500,00 640,00 300,00 4,500,00 12,000,00 350,00 37,744,27 58,870,12 37,744,27

## TICE OF 1954-1955 BUDGET MEET City of Brookings, Oregon

gon, in compliance with said Law, prepared and adopted on May 26, 1954, the following budget estimates for the City of Brookings, Oregon, for the ensuing fiscal year, July 1, 1954 to June 30, 1955, All persons are hereby notified that on Friday, June 25, 1954, at 8:00 p. m., in the City Hall, Brook ings, Oregon, said budget estimates may be discussed with the City Council, the levying board for the City of Brookings, Oregon, and any person subject to the proposed tax levy or levies will be heard in CLA., as amended) notice is hereby given that the Budget Committee of the City of Brookings, Ore-In accordance with the provisions of the "Local Budget Law" (Section 110-1201 to 110-1215, O ALESS (1885) - HELES avor of or against said proposed tax levy, or any part thereof.

(SIGNED):

FRED MOORE, Member of Budget Committee WARREN T. SMITH, Councilman LUTHER TISDALE, Member of Budget CommitteePETE LESMEISTER, Councilman ROBERT O. DIMMICK, Mayor CLIVE MANLEY, Councilman C. F. CAMPBELL, Councilman (SIGNED): LYNN HAMPTON, Member of Budget Committee W. H. ZICKLER, Member of Budget Committee W. L. CRISSEY, Member of Budget Committee

## Statement of Elmer F. Rapraeger, School Board Member, District 17c.

On the morning of June 9, 1954 at approximately 9.30 a.m. in front of Freeman's store in brookings, Oregon I met Mr. Elmer Bankus and briefly discussed with him our need for a sewer connection from the new High School building to a manhole near the junction of Oak Street and Highway 101 in the City of Brookings.

Mr. Bankus stated that it was practical to run a sewer from the new high school to the manhole referred to but before the installation could be made he would require a signed contract between himself and the school board covering such things as the connection fee and a monthly charge for use of the sewer system.

I asked Mr. Bankus if he was charging anyone else for the use of sewers located in brookings and he said "No" Further conversation developed the point that Mr. Bankus contemplated making such a charge throughout Brookings, but he would not collect it from the school district or other users of the sewer system until he and the City Council settled some points which seemed to be in controversy.

I then asked Mr. Bankus to enlighten me on the matter of the connection fee which he had mentioned. He told me that he meant by this the cost of connecting the new high school building to the manhole near Oak Street and Highway 101. I explained to Mr. bankus that the school board had third into a contract with a reliable contractor to build our sewer line. Mr. bankus said that he would have to do the job with his own men and according to his own specifications as he did not have confidence in contractors to do the work properly. The cost of the work performed by Mr. Bankus would be the sconnection feel referred to.

On Thursday, June 10, 1954 I reported by conversation with Mr. Dankus to the other members of the school board. On June 11, 1954, I prepared the above statement while the facts were fresh in my mind.

ELMER F. RAPRAEGER.

Harbor, Oregon.